

A note on finite \mathcal{PST} -groups

A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero and M. Ragland

(Communicated by D. J. S. Robinson)

Abstract. A finite group G is said to be a \mathcal{PST} -group if, for subgroups H and K of G with H Sylow-permutable in K and K Sylow-permutable in G , it is always the case that H is Sylow-permutable in G . A group G is a \mathcal{T}^* -group if, for subgroups H and K of G with H normal in K and K normal in G , it is always the case that H is Sylow-permutable in G . In this paper, we show that the classes of finite \mathcal{PST} -groups and finite \mathcal{T}^* -groups coincide. A new characterization of soluble \mathcal{PST} -groups is also presented.

1 Introduction and statement of results

Throughout this paper, all groups considered are finite. A subgroup H of a group G is called *Sylow-permutable* in G , or *S-permutable*, if $HS = SH$ for every Sylow subgroup S of G . Kegel [9] has shown that *S*-permutable subgroups are subnormal. However there exist subnormal subgroups which are not *S*-permutable. Robinson [10] called \mathcal{PST} -groups the groups in which every subnormal subgroup is *S*-permutable. From Kegel's result, a group G is a \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if *S*-permutability is a transitive relation in G .

Many papers have studied \mathcal{PST} -groups in detail. Agrawal initiated the study in [1] where he characterized the soluble \mathcal{PST} -groups as follows:

Theorem 1. *A group G is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if the nilpotent residual D of G is an abelian Hall subgroup of odd order such that G induces power automorphisms in D .*

Robinson, in [10], gave the following characterization of \mathcal{PST} -groups:

Theorem 2. *A group G is a \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if it has a perfect normal subgroup D such that*

The first and the second author were supported by Grant MTM2004-08219-C02-02 from Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) and FEDER (European Union).

- (1) G/D is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group;
- (2) $D/Z(D) = U_1/Z(D) \times \cdots \times U_k/Z(D)$ where $U_i/Z(D)$ is simple and $U_i \trianglelefteq G$;
- (3) if $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, where $1 \leq r < k$, then the factor group $G/U'_{i_1}U'_{i_2} \dots U'_{i_r}$ satisfies N_p for all $p \in \pi(Z(D))$.

Here, a group G satisfies N_p if, for all soluble normal subgroups N , the p' -elements of G induce power automorphisms in $O_p(G/N)$.

On the other hand, Asaad and Csörgő defined in [4] \mathcal{T}^* -groups as the groups G such that if H is a normal subgroup of K and K is a normal subgroup of G , then H is S -permutable in G . In other words, a group G is a \mathcal{T}^* -group whenever every subnormal subgroup of G of defect at most 2 is S -permutable in G . The proofs of most results of [4] seem to use the requirement that all subnormal subgroups of a \mathcal{T}^* -group are S -permutable, as in \mathcal{PST} -groups, without explicitly stating the equivalence between the concepts of a \mathcal{T}^* -group and a \mathcal{PST} -group. Therefore, in order to check the validity of the proofs of [4], it is necessary to know whether \mathcal{PST} -groups can be characterized as the groups in which every subnormal subgroup of defect at most 2 is S -permutable. Our first main result shows that this question has an affirmative answer.

Robinson established in [10] that \mathcal{PST} -groups are \mathcal{SC} -groups, that is, groups whose chief factors are all simple. With small changes to Robinson's proof of that result, one can arrive at the same conclusion for \mathcal{T}^* -groups.

Lemma 3. *A \mathcal{T}^* -group is an \mathcal{SC} -group.*

\mathcal{SC} -groups are also characterized by Robinson in [10].

Theorem 4. *A group G is an \mathcal{SC} -group if and only if there is a perfect normal subgroup D such that G/D is supersoluble, $D/Z(D)$ is a direct product of G -invariant simple groups, and $Z(D)$ is supersolubly embedded in G (i.e., there is a G -admissible series of $Z(D)$ with cyclic factors).*

A \mathcal{U}_p^* -group is defined in [2] to be a p -supersoluble group G in which all p -chief factors are G -isomorphic when regarded as modules over G . In [2, Corollary 3], the following characterization of soluble \mathcal{PST} -groups is given.

Theorem 5. *A group G is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if it satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* for all primes p .*

Our first main result shows that $\mathcal{PST} = \mathcal{T}^*$:

Theorem A. *A group G is a \mathcal{T}^* -group if and only if it is a \mathcal{PST} -group.*

In [3, Theorem 3.1], Asaad proved that a group G is a soluble \mathcal{T} -group if and only if for all primes p dividing the order of $F^*(G)$, the generalized Fitting subgroup of G ,

every p -subgroup of G is pronormal in G . As a consequence, he proved that a group G is a soluble \mathcal{T} -group if and only if for all primes p dividing the order of $F^*(G)$, G satisfies property \mathcal{C}_p , that is, every subgroup of a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is normal in $N_G(P)$ ([3, Corollary 3.2]). He extended this result to permutability by showing that a group G is a soluble \mathcal{PT} -group if and only if G satisfies \mathcal{X}_p for all primes p dividing the order of $F^*(G)$. Here, a group G satisfies \mathcal{X}_p when every subgroup of a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is permutable in $N_G(P)$. This property was introduced and studied in [7].

The \mathcal{PST} -version of the properties \mathcal{C}_p and \mathcal{X}_p is the property \mathcal{Y}_p introduced in [5]. Recall that a group G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p if whenever H and K are p -subgroups of G such that $H \leq K$, then H is S -permutable in $N_G(K)$. In [5, Theorem 4], it is proved that a group G is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p . Asaad's results admit the following generalization to \mathcal{PST} -groups:

Theorem B. *A group G is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p dividing the order of $F^*(G)$.*

Unlike previous characterizations of soluble \mathcal{PST} -groups, this one does not follow quickly from the classification of minimal non- \mathcal{PST} -groups given by Robinson in [11].

2 Proofs

Proof of Theorem A. Only the necessity of the condition is in doubt. We assume that it does not hold and derive a contradiction. Let G be a group of minimal order such that G is a \mathcal{T}^* -group but G is not a \mathcal{PST} -group. An argument similar to the one used in [1] to show that quotients of \mathcal{PST} -groups are \mathcal{PST} -groups shows that all quotient groups of G are \mathcal{T}^* -groups. Therefore, by minimality of G , every proper quotient group of G is a \mathcal{PST} -group. Applying Lemma 3, G is an \mathcal{SC} -group. Thus, from Theorem 4, we have that G has a normal perfect subgroup D such that $D/Z(D) = U_1/Z(D) \times \cdots \times U_k/Z(D)$, with all $U_i/Z(D)$ simple, and $Z(D)$ is supersolubly embedded in G .

Assume that $D \neq 1$, i.e., G is not soluble. Then G/D is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group. Since $U_i/Z(D)$ is simple for all i , we have $U_i' \neq 1$ for all i . Therefore if $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with $r < k$, then G/U_{i_j}' is a \mathcal{PST} -group and so $G/U_{i_1}' U_{i_2}' \dots U_{i_r}'$ satisfies N_p for all primes p . Theorem 2 implies that G is a \mathcal{PST} -group, contrary to assumption. Therefore $D = 1$ and G is soluble. Since all chief factors of G are simple, G is supersoluble. Let p be the largest prime dividing the order of G . Then G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup, P say. Moreover, G/P is a \mathcal{PST} -group by the choice of G . Hence G/P satisfies \mathcal{U}_q^* for all primes $q \neq p$ by Theorem 5. This implies that G satisfies \mathcal{U}_q^* for all primes $q \neq p$. Since G is not a \mathcal{PST} -group, it follows that G does not satisfy \mathcal{U}_p^* .

Suppose that $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$. Then $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group. Therefore $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* by Theorem 5, and so G satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* . This is a contradiction.

Consequently $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Assume that G has two different minimal normal subgroups N_1 and N_2 . Both of them have order p , and G/N_1 and G/N_2 satisfy \mathcal{U}_p^* . If N_1N_2 is a proper subgroup of P , then by considering all chief factors of G between N_1 and N_1N_2 , between N_2 and N_1N_2 , and between N_1N_2 and P , we obtain that G satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* . This contradiction shows that $P = N_1N_2$. Note that $P = N_1 \times N_2$ is abelian. If D is a subgroup of P , then D is normal in P and D is S -permutable in G ; hence D is normalized by all p' -elements of G and D is normal in G . Thus elements of G induce power automorphisms in P , from which it follows that G satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* , contrary to the choice of G .

Hence G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is contained in P , and G/N is a \mathcal{PST} -group. Moreover, $P = O_p(G) = F(G)$, the Fitting subgroup. If N is not contained in the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of G , then G is a primitive group and so $N = F(G)$ has order p . In particular, G satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* . This contradiction yields that $N \leq \Phi(G)$. If G/N is p -nilpotent, then G is p -nilpotent and so G satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* . This is not possible. Consequently, G/N is not nilpotent. Since G/N is a \mathcal{PST} -group, the nilpotent residual R/N of G/N is an abelian Hall subgroup of G/N and all elements of G induce power automorphisms on R/N . Moreover, N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . In particular, R/N is a p -group and so $P/N = R/N$. In particular, p' -elements of G/N induce power automorphisms on P/N . Let S be a subgroup of P . Then SN is normal in G because P/N is abelian and $O^p(G/N)$ normalizes SN/N . In addition, since either $SN = S$ or S is a maximal subgroup of the p -group SN , we have that S is a normal subgroup of SN . Since G is a \mathcal{T}^* -group, S is S -permutable in G . Then all p' -elements of G normalize S and so induce power automorphisms in P . Hence G satisfies \mathcal{U}_p^* . This is the final contradiction.

The following lemma is needed in the proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 6. *Let p be a prime and let M be a normal p' -subgroup of a group G . Then G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p if and only if G/M satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p .*

Proof. By [5, Lemma 2], if G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p , then G/M satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p . Conversely, assume that G/M satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p . By [5, Theorem 5], either G/M is p -nilpotent, or G/M has abelian Sylow p -subgroups and G/M satisfies \mathcal{C}_p . In the first case, G is p -nilpotent and so G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p by [5, Theorem 5]. Assume that G/M has abelian Sylow p -subgroups and satisfies \mathcal{C}_p . Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Consider a subgroup H of P , and $g \in N_G(P)$. We have $H^gM = HM$ because HM/M is normalized by $gM \in G/M$. Therefore

$$H^g = H^gM \cap P = HM \cap P = H.$$

This implies that G satisfies \mathcal{C}_p and so G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p by [5, Theorem 5].

Proof of Theorem B. If G is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group, we can apply [5, Theorem 4] to conclude that G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p . Let G be a group satisfying \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p dividing the order of $F^*(G)$. We shall prove that G is a soluble \mathcal{PST} -group

by induction on $|G|$. By [5, Theorem 4], we can suppose that $F^*(G)$ is a proper subgroup of G . Note that the class \mathcal{Y}_p is subgroup-closed for all primes p . Hence $F^*(G)$ satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p . Applying [5, Theorem 4], we have that $F^*(G)$ is soluble. Therefore $1 \neq F^*(G) = F(G)$ by [8, (X, 13)].

Suppose that there exists a prime p dividing $|F^*(G)|$ such that a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is not abelian. In this case, G is p -nilpotent by [5, Theorem 5]. Moreover, since $F^*(O_{p'}(G))$ is contained in $F^*(G)$, we have that $O_{p'}(G)$ is a soluble $\mathcal{PS}\mathcal{T}$ -group by induction. This implies that G is soluble. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in $O_p(G)$. Since $N \cap Z(P)$ is non-trivial and contained in the centre of G , we have $N \cap Z(P) = N$. Thus $F(G/N) = F(G)/N$. Consequently G/N satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p dividing $|F^*(G/N)|$. Hence G/N is a soluble $\mathcal{PS}\mathcal{T}$ -group by induction and so G/N satisfies \mathcal{Y}_q for all primes q dividing $|G/N|$ by [5, Theorem 4]. By Lemma 6, G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_q for all primes $q \neq p$. Since G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p by hypothesis, it follows that G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_p for all primes p and so G is a soluble $\mathcal{PS}\mathcal{T}$ -group by [5, Theorem 4].

Therefore we can assume, by [5, Theorem 5], that for every prime p dividing $|F^*(G)|$, G has an abelian Sylow p -subgroup P and G satisfies \mathcal{C}_p . In this case, every cyclic subgroup of p -power order of $F(G)$ is normal in G , because G satisfies \mathcal{C}_p , and so centralized by G' . Hence G' is contained in $C_G(F(G))$, which is contained in $F(G)$ by [8, (X, 13)]. Thus G' is abelian and so G is soluble.

Let q be a prime. If q divides $|G'|$, then q divides $|F(G)|$ and so G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_q by hypothesis. Suppose that q does not divide $|G'|$. Consider a q -subgroup H of G . We have that HG' is a normal subgroup of G and so every Sylow subgroup of HG' is pronormal in G . Hence H is pronormal in G . According to [6, Lemma 2], G satisfies \mathcal{C}_q and so G satisfies \mathcal{Y}_q by [5, Theorem 3]. Consequently, G is a soluble $\mathcal{PS}\mathcal{T}$ -group.

References

- [1] R. K. Agrawal. Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47** (1975), 77–83.
- [2] M. J. Alejandre, A. Ballester-Bolinches and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera. Finite soluble groups with permutable subnormal subgroups. *J. Algebra* **240** (2001), 705–721.
- [3] M. Asaad. Finite groups in which normality or quasinormality is transitive. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **83** (2004), 289–296.
- [4] M. Asaad and P. Csörgő. On T^* -groups. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **74** (1997), 235–243.
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero. Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups. *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), 727–738.
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero. On finite \mathcal{T} -groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **75** (2003), 1–11.
- [7] J. C. Beidleman, B. Brewster and D. J. S. Robinson. Criteria for permutability to be transitive in finite groups. *J. Algebra* **222** (1999), 400–412.
- [8] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn. *Finite groups*, vol. 3 (Springer-Verlag, 1982).
- [9] O. H. Kegel. Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen. *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [10] D. J. S. Robinson. The structure of finite groups in which permutability is a transitive relation. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **70** (2001), 143–159.

- [11] D. J. S. Robinson. Minimality and Sylow-permutability in locally finite groups. *Ukrainian Math. J.* **54** (2002), 1038–1049.

Received 28 February, 2006; revised 16 June, 2006

A. Ballester-Bolinches, Departament d'Àlgebra, Universitat de València, Dr. Moliner, 50,
E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain
E-mail: Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es

R. Esteban-Romero, Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada-IMPA, Universitat Politècnica de
València, Camí de Vera, s/n, E-46022 València, Spain
E-mail: resteban@mat.upv.es

M. Ragland, Department of Mathematics, School of Sciences, 213 Goodwyn Hall, Auburn
University Montgomery, P.O. Box 244023, Montgomery, AL 36124-4023, U.S.A.
E-mail: mragland@mail.aum.edu